G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references. 1925-6 **File No.** 50/25

9 T. 2 N. 12 (CB) **Serial Nos.** 9410

9 T. 29-25-3

Later references.

SH 104. 26

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 9410

Part B for Oct 1926

SUBJECT

Charge allowances to certain Officers of the Educational Deptt

---

To

The Inspector General of Education

" Comptroller, Myson Govt, Mins' Fe Bom

No 3458-9/C.B. Dy 25-6-25

Sanctions the charge allowances as recommended by the Inspector General of Education

Printed on the Multigraph.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(C.S.)

NOTES.

File No. 50-25

FILE HEADING.

Charge allowances to certain officers of the Educational Dept.

From

The D. G. of Edn.

No. 1/486 Dated 17.6.26 Received 19.6.26

Diary No. 1910 | Enclos. — Spare Copies. | Serial No. 9

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends the grant of Charge allowances to Dr. Paul Chinnappa, Messrs. Sri Narasimhaiya & Kirti in connection with the working arrangement made for the discharge of the current duties of his office when he was on privilege leave and in this connection requests that a ruling

~~NOTES AND ORDERS.~~

may be given with regard to the interpretation of Articles 64 & 65 of the M.S.R. So that the Charge allowance admissible under Article 64 may be paid to the without any further orders from Govt., unless there is an order increasing or decreasing the Charge allowance under Article 65.

The Charge allowances recommended are under

NOTES—First page.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C/ File No. 50 of 1925

Serial No.

6/10

Article 64 M.S.R three-quarters of the each Allowance which would be admissible if the Officers had been appointed to officiate & may perhaps be Sanctioned

The G.G suggests in this Connection that Heads of Depts. may be empowered to Sanction Charge allowances under Article 64, M.S.R [themselves] ~~Members under Article 65 the Govt order thereon any further orders pass Govt.~~

Draft G.O. Sanctioning the Charge allowances is Subs. for orders.

The Financial Secy may be Consulted as regards the question of interpretation of Articles 64 & 65 M.S.R raised by the G.G.E.

20/6/26

Jo. Fin Secy

21/6

It is not clear how the Ch. allce to no (2) Thiruvarangam asstt has been arrived at. The other proposals are in order. It does not appear desirable to further extend the powers of Heads of depts to grant ch. allces. A delegation in this direction was made only recently

Pr 22/6

HSS

The G.G's View is correct.

Re Mr. Kini however, the case does not fall under Art. 64 or 65 but under Art. 91 and as such sanction of Govt is req'd to enable him to draw the charge allowance

Subs. with 2 draft G.O's.

23.6.26

22/6/26

Pr 24/6/26

956 24.6.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT 21 JUN 1926

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B File No. 50 of 1925

Serial No

Draft re allys recommended in the case of the three officers is appd

8) The general order proposed requires further consideration. The power under Art 64 may be given to the Chief Adjs of Depts so far as Non-gazetted officers are concerned — As regards Gazetted Officers it is necessary to settle when the question of alteration in the amt of allys in each case should be considered by Govt. Is it when the 'In charge' appt is made or should the question of allys be separately dealt with? These points may be further considered and the Financial Secy may also be consulted. Any such order will be a general one and has to be suspd + dealt with

Amd
24/6/26
Ltr

10 To The P.G. of Eda & the Comptr.
No. 3478-G/CB dt 25.6.25

Sanction to charge allowances as recommended by the P.G. of Eda

Recorded with reference to para 8 above

To Rt Log Br C

25/6/26

Pm 8 pleas pending adoption records appeal by

NOTE—Contd. Sheet

M. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CB File No. 50 of 1926.

Serial No.

C9 2/26
H 3488/25

11 Heads of depts are empowered to sanction charge allowances under Art 65 Trans Pay Addenda. The file should be connected with addn. Slip. No 3. dt 10.1.23.

Magna putrya

29.6.26

12 The file required is not available to be put up. (Vide the routine Note. 11)

A copy of the G.O. dt 30.8.1922 is put up for perusal (P)

The matter may have been avoided

B

Para 15.7.26 HMK 16.7.26

13 Mr M.V. to a note on the points raised in para 8

B

17/7

14 Submitted with reference to the 2nd member's minute dt 24.6.26.

15 Heads of Depts are empowered to sanction charge allowances under article 65 of the M. S. Rules to non-gazetted officers. (Vide addenda Slip no. 3 dt 10.1.23) - But this delegation is only in respect of appointments which carry a pay of less than Rs 100/-. But the Revenue Commr + the Chief Secretary are empowered to appoint or retire all the ministerial officers + therefore the para

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

CB File No. 50 of 1925.

Serial No.

for consideration is whether the power to sanction charge allowances in the case of appointments (ministerial) carrying a pay of Rs 100 or upwards may be delegated to Heads of Depts who do not exercise the power of making such appointments themselves without the sanction of Govt.

Please see letter to compt linked file

10 As regards the other point viz the grant of allowances to Gazetted officers when they are placed in charge of the duties of another officer, it seems necessary to specifically mention the officers to whom the allowances are admissible as only a few cases have been mentioned in article 65 A.

DO

KW 20/7/26 HK 20/7/26

17 Please put up the recent G.O. on this subject. I think they have been empowered.

By 9/8

Please see linked file. I do not think any sanction is necessary. Please put up

By 9/8

19 In the G.O. of Feb '26 H.Q.s of depts are authorised to make in charge arrangements in priv. leave vacancies up to one month. But while

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | CB File No. 50 of 1926.

Serial No.

7E 3488

fixing the allowances payable allowances admissible under art 91 ors Can be allowed by mem & not made under art 64 or 65 That is to say. they command- ant another officer in charge of the absentee whole time relieving such officer of his ~~duties~~ duties. This is a wholesome rule & there appears to be no need to give powers under 64 & 65 to Hds of Depts. The attention of the F.C.S may therefore be invited to ~~our letter~~ the G.O. of 26 and we may send him also a copy of our letter to the Comptr d. 9.7.26. A copy of this letter may be sent to all Heads of Depts also. Necessary add slip to M.S.R will be put up

BZ
14/8

7E 88

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
14 AUG 1926
No.

2) In charge arrangements are of two kinds -
Firstly - In charge of the current duties of an office in addition to one's own duties. &
Secondly - In charge of the current duties of an office independently, the officer being relieved of one's own duties -

2) In the first class of cases, Vide art 91 M.S.R the authority competent to make the appointment is authorised to sanction a charge allowance not exceeding 1/8 of the pay of the office -

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No.

The maximum allowance admissible is fixed by rule and the appointing officer is required to determine the amount to be claimed by the appointed officer. Until such determination is made the audit officer will not pass any allowance.

2. Under the amended rule Heads of the Major Depts are permitted to make such in charge arrangements in privilege leave vacancies of gazetted officers not exceeding one month arising within their powers of sanction.

3. As regards the second class of cases, art. 64 M.R. provides that the in-charge officer is entitled to a charge allowance of ¾ of the acting allowance, admissible if appointed to officiate in the office is 15 p.c. of the pay of the appointment.

4. I venture to think that this rule should be interpreted in the same way as art. 70. M.S.R. In other words in the absence of a direction to the contrary by the officer making the in-charge arrangement in the exercise of powers conferred by section 65, the officer holding charge of the office independently may claim the 15 p.c. allowance and the audit office may pass it without waiting for further sanction.

A

5. The wording in art. 64 is different from that in art. 91.

6. It is open to the appointing authority to exercise the powers vested in it under section 65 either at the time of making the in-charge arrangement or later.

7. I believe a relaxation in the 15 p.c. allowance is generally ordered if considered necessary at the time of appointment and big the allowance will be admitted when the in-charge period has continued for a longer time. Thus

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Finance and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 50 of 1925.

Serial No.

originally anticipated, or the officer had to attend to work only the current duties but also to the discharge of the entire functions of the office.

The question of giving the higher pay as allowance will not normally arise at the time of the in-charge arrangement, but in course of time, the officer used in the ordinary course be appointed to officiate.

2) Heads of the Major Depts. are authorised to vary the rate of charge allowance admissible to managing officers under art. 65 M. S. R. and if the views expressed above is accepted no further action is called for.

3) Before submitting the file to the minister I should like to know how the audit office has been interpreting the provision in art. 64 M. S. R and whether the view expressed at A in the preceding paragraph is in accordance with the practice in Audit Office.

To Compt. with compliments.

14.8.26.

U.O.No.154 GAD dated 24th August 1926.

1) Returned with compliments.

2) In all Government Orders placing officers in independent charge under article 64 Mysore Service Regulations, the allowances also used to be fixed.

3) If such arrangements are made by competent authority, the audit office can allow 3/4ths of the acting allowance without further orders of Government.

S. Shamanna
for Comptroller. 24/8

Ref. para 8 (for 3)

2nd member

27.8

18 AUG 1926 BANG.
26. AUG. 1926 CIV. SECTT. D SECTION.
AUG. 1926 FINANCIAL SECRETARY
AUG. 1926 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARIAT COUNCIL NOTE Confdl. Sheet
AUG. 1926

DIARY NO. 1660 DATE 17.12.25

General and Revenue Secretariat

C.B. File No. 50

Serial No

K 3455

Delay due to S&A Cars being held up – we were daily expecting a substitute but none was sent

B 22/9

Draft Sub? Ref: para 34. Supra

~~Submit to Dr~~

22/9 HK 22 9 26

B 22/9

~~Dr~~ 22/9 May issue after personal scrutiny – h 20/9

B

Chief Sec? for personal

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 23 SEP 1926 No.....

(Secd)

To the D.E. & Education

No 3E 2932 dt 5.10.26.

State that an Officer placed in independent charge of an Office may be paid the charge allowance admissible under Art 611 Mob without any further order etc.

B.

B

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

Edn. 116

WD 01588—GPB—2,500—31-3-26
Postal Address:—POST BOX No. 6
Tel. Address:—EDUCAGEN Bangalore.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.
Government of Mysore.

C.B. 1910.

C.B. 50-25-9

No. 1/486

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE.

ENC.

Bangalore. 17 June 192 6

FROM

K. MATTHAN, ESQ., B.A.,
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE.

To

P.G.D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Notification No.2704/C.B.50-25 dated 9th April 1926 granting me 1 month and 15 days privilege leave of absence and sanctioning certain acting arrangements in connection therewith, I have the honour to recommend that the three officers referred to in the Notification may be granted charge allowances as noted below:-

1. Dr. S.P. Chinnappa who was in charge of the current duties of the office of the Inspector General of Education independently — Rs.210 P.M.
2. Mr. K. Srinarasimhaiya who was in charge of the current duties of the Inspector of Primary and Middle School Education independently — Rs. 75 P.M.
3. Mr. K.N. Kini who was in charge of the current duties of the Inspector of Secondary and Normal Schools in addi- to his own — Rs. 40 P.M.

In this connection I request that Government may kindly give a ruling with regard to the interpretation of Articles 64 and 65 of the Mysore Service Regulations. As per Article 64 an officer appointed to be in charge of the current duties of an

P.T.O.

office independently is entitled to a charge allowance of three-quarters of the acting allowance which would be admissible to him if he were appointed to officiate in the appointment. Article 65 gives scope to Government to reduce or increase the allowance in individual cases. I am inclined to think that as per Article 64, unless there is an order increasing or reducing the charge allowance under Article 65 an officer placed in independent charge of an office may be paid the charge allowance admissible to him under Article 64 without any further orders from Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General.

PNR/17-6

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

..... 2 draft .....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Date of issue 25/6/26

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section CB

File No. S 97/4

Serial No. 10

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Notification no. 2704/CB 50-25-8 dtd 12th April 1926 sanctioning one month and fifteen days' privilege leave to Mr. K. Mathan, B.A., I.S. of Edn. in Kapan, with certain acting arrangements.

2. Letter no. 1/48 dtd 17th June 1926 from the I.S. of Education in Kapan recommending the grant of Charge allowances to the undermentioned officers in connection with the above,

~~Order Thereon No.~~

(1) Rs. 210/- per mem. to Dr. S. Paul Chinnappa, Inspector of Primary & Middle Schools, who was in charge of the current duties of the I.S. of Edn. from 18.4.26 to 30.5.26;

(2) Rs. 75/- per mem. to Mr. Srinivasachariya, Inspector of Secondary & Normal Schools, who was in charge of the current duties of the Inspector of Primary & Middle Schools during the above period;

(3) Rs. 40/- per mem. to Mr. K. N. Ki

Insp^r of Science Education, who was in charge of the current duties of the Insp^r of Secondary & Normal Schools, in addition to his own.

Order No. 3[illegible] & 7/6/26

Sanctioned.

24/6/26

Chief Secy
24/6/26

Rec'd F.S. of Edn
" Comptr.
Copy to Edn. Secty.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1888—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

D 1569/X

H34488/25

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section C/3

File No. 50-25

Serial No.

No. H 2932

To The Inspector General of Education in Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

(H)

the *192*

Date of issue 5.10.26

Signed by Dr. B. S. R.

Sir,

~~In continuation of~~ ~~for~~ ~~my~~ ~~letter~~ ~~No. 3478-9/C.13 50-25 of the 25th June 1926, and~~ with reference to para 2 of your letter No. 1/486 of the 17th June 1926 asking for a ruling ~~that~~ in regard to the interpretation of articles 64 & 65 of the Mysore Service Regulations, an Officer placed ~~placed~~ in independent charge of an office may be paid the charge allowance admissible to him under art 64 without any further orders ~~of Govt~~, ~~I am directed to~~

I am directed to state that no printed copy is enclosed.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

Note that the Comptroller reports
that in all fort orders placing officers
in independent charge under art 64 M.S.R.
the allowances also were used to be fixed
and if such arrangements are made by
Competent authority the audit-office
will allow 3/4th of the acting allowances
without further orders of fort.

In these circumstances no further
action is called for in the matter.

Shaw etc.

L
30/9/26
Chief Secretary to Govt

Govt 13/10/26.

FI 2933/ dated 5-10-26
copy to Comptr. for info.
with reference to No. W. 154 dy 7th Sept 26.

Under the authority
Comptroller's remarks
the application has communicated
in writing a ruling the said
allowance under art. 65 M.S.R.